# **EXHIBIT B**

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

1

2

3

5

6

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

CORPORATION, et al.,

UNITED MICROELECTRONICS

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

**15** 

**16** 

19

**20** 

21

22

23

24

25 **26** 

28

CASE NO.: 3:18-CR-00465-MMC

LETTER OF REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE PURSUANT TO THE **GOVERNMENT OF THE PEOPLE'S** REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Judge: The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney

# TO THE MINISTRY OF JUSTICE OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA:

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

The United States District Court for the Northern District of California presents its compliments to the Ministry of Justice of the People's Republic of China (the "Ministry") and requests international judicial assistance to permit Defendant Fujian Jinhua Integrated Circuit Co., Ltd. ("Jinhua") in the above-captioned criminal matter to obtain live witness testimony to be used in the proceeding before this Court in the above-captioned matter. This Court respectfully requests that the Ministry recognize this Letter of Request, issued based on this Court's inherent power, and arrange for its execution in in the interest of comity. This Letter of Request is also consistent with based upon the information provided by the Fujian Provincial Justice Department to Jinhua on June 19 and 20, 2022 to the Court by Zheng Jinfu, a Vice-President of Jinhua, and Du Yaling, Director General of the Department of International Cooperation of the Ministry of Justice of the People's Republic of China. Ms. Du stated in correspondence to this Court that the view of the Chinese competent authority wasis that approval for live testimony of witnesses in the United States on behalf

Redline 2022.07.01 ECF 518-2 Reitmeier Decl Ex. A iso Reply 2nd Motion re Letter Rog and Jinhua\_2nd Letter Rog 876238v10 07/25/2022

1 of Jinhua neededneeds to be obtained either through the formal request pursuant to the Agreement between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the People's 3 Republic of China on Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters (the "MLAA") or the letters rogatory, process. According to Ms. Du, "the reason that we believe that a request for criminal 5 judicial assistance is needed in this case is mainly because of the effect of the Court's order extends to the witnesses who live or reside within the territory of the PRC." (Letters from Du Yuling dated 7 July 8, 2022 and July 18, 2022). This Court wishes to clarify to the Ministry of Justice of the People's Republic of China that this Court did not order Messrs. Wu or You to testify. The defendant, Jinhua, 8 9 requests their trial testimony. The Court ordered that, if Messrs. Wu or You wish to testify in this case, that they do so in person and by a date certain. The original date for their testimony was June 21, 2022. The revised date for their testimony is August 22, 2022. Trial in this matter commenced 11 12 on February 28, 2022.

This Court requests the assistance described herein as necessary in the interests of justice. This Letter of Request is made pursuant to the <u>Court's inherent powers</u>, and the authority granted under 28 U.S.C. § 1781(b)(2), which provides reciprocal assistance to the Court of the People's Republic of China if future circumstances so require. The particulars of this Letter of Request are as follows:

13

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1. Sender:	The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
	United States District Court for the Northern
	District of California
	San Francisco Courthouse
	Courtroom 7 – 19th Floor
	450 Golden Gate Avenue
	San Francisco, CA 94102
2. Authority of the Requested State:	Ministry of Justice of the People's Republic of
	China
	Bureau Department of International
	Cooperation
	Ministry of Justice of China
	33, Pinganli Xidajie
	Xicheng District
	Beijing 100035
	People's Republic of China
	Contact Person: SUN Ruiyun

Redline 2022.07.01 ECF 518-2 Reitmeier Decl Ex. A iso Reply 2nd Motion re Letter Rog and Jinhua\_2nd Letter Rog  $876238v10\ 07/25/2022$ 

3. Person to Whom the Executed Request Is	Jack P. DiCanio
To Be Returned:	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
	FLOM LLP
	525 University Avenue
	Palo Alto, California 94301
	An officer of the Court on behalf of:
	The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
	United States District Court for the Northern
	District of California
	San Francisco Courthouse
	Courtroom 7 – 19th Floor
	450 Golden Gate Avenue,
	San Francisco, CA 94102
4. Response Date:	The requesting authority respectfully requests
	that the Ministry respond to the below
	document as soon as possible, and would be
	grateful if the Ministry would be kind enough to
7 D	respond by August <u>419</u> , 2022.
5. Requesting Judicial Authority:	The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
	United States District Court for the Northern District of California
	San Francisco Courthouse
	Courtroom 7 – 19th Floor
	450 Golden Gate Avenue,
	San Francisco, CA 94102
6. Name of the Case and Identifying Number:	United States of America v. United
or the or one case and racing ing the section	Microelectronics Corporation, et. al., Case No.
	3:18-cr-00465-MMC
Parties and Their Representatives:	
United States of America	Stephanie M. Hinds, Esq.
	United States Attorney for the Northern District
	of California
	Thomas A. Colthurst, Esq.
	Chief, Criminal Division, for the Northern
	District of California
	District of Camornia

Redline 2022.07.01 ECF 518-2 Reitmeier Decl Ex. A iso Reply 2nd Motion re Letter Rog and Jinhua\_2nd Letter Rog  $876238v10\ 07/25/2022$ 

25

**26** 

27

Laura Vartain Horn, Esq.

Nicholas O. Hunter, Esq.

Stephen Marzen, Esq.

Assistant United States Attorneys

Nicholas Walsh, Esq.

	Ш	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
	Ш	

10

11

12

13

14

15

**17** 

**18** 

19

21

24

25

**26** 

#### Trial Attorneys, National Security Division of the United States Department of Justice Fujian Jinhua Integrated Circuit Co., Ltd. Jack P. DiCanio **Emily Reitmeier** SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 525 University Avenue Palo Alto, California 94301 (650) 470-4500 Telephone: Facsimile: (650) 470-4570 Matthew E. Sloan SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400 Los Angeles, California 90071-3144 Telephone: (213) 687-5000 Facsimile: (213) 687-5600

## Nature and Purpose of the Proceedings:

Jinhua 2nd Letter Rog 876238v10 07/25/2022

On September 27, 2018, this case commenced upon the return of a sealed indictment against United Microelectronics Corporation ("UMC"); Fujian Jinhua Integrated Circuit, Co., Ltd. ("Jinhua"); Chen Zhengkun, a.k.a Stephen Chen; He Jianting, a.k.a. J.T. Ho; and Wang Yungming, a.k.a. Kenny Wang. (The indictment was unsealed on or about October 30, 2018). The United States alleged that the defendants violated various criminal statutes related to the misappropriation of trade secrets. The charges stemmed from allegations that the defendants conspired to steal trade secrets related to DRAM semiconductor chips belonging to Micron Technology, Inc.

For its part in the alleged conspiracy, Jinhua was charged with violating: (1) 18 U.S.C. § 1831(a)(5), conspiracy to commit economic espionage; (2) 18 U.S.C. § 1832(a)(5), conspiracy to commit theft of trade secrets; and (3) 18 U.S.C. §§ 1831(a)(3) and 2, economic espionage (receiving and possessing stolen trade secrets). The maximum penalties for each violation of § 1831 is: (1) A fine not more than the greater of \$10,000,000 or 3 times the value of the stolen trade secret to the organization, including the expenses for research and design and other costs of reproducing the trade secret that the organization has thereby avoided; (2) Restitution; (3) Asset Forfeiture; and (4) a \$400 special assessment. The maximum penalty for the violation of § 1832 is: (1) A fine not more than the greater of \$5,000,000 or 3 times the value of the stolen trade secret to the organization, including Redline 2022.07.01 ECF 518-2 Reitmeier Decl Ex. A iso Reply 2nd Motion re Letter Rog and

On February 28, 2022, the trial of Defendant Jinhua commenced. Following five weeks of

1 the expenses for research and design and other costs of reproducing the trade secret that the 2 organization has thereby avoided; (2) Restitution; (3) Asset Forfeiture; and (4) a \$400 special 3 assessment. Infringement upon trade secrets is illegal under the Anti-Unfair Competition Law of the

4 People's Republic of China.

5 testimony, on April 4, 2022, the government rested. Jinhua then presented testimony from one witness for three days. At that point, on April 6, 2022, trial was adjourned and continued to a future date. As-Jinhua is incorporated and based in the People's Republic of China; ("PRC"). Jinhua 9 represents that the remaining witnesses necessary for it to present its defense are all located in the 10 PRC. But due to a combination of COVID-19-related complications and health issues, none of those witnesses have been able to travel to the United States for trial. Two This Letter of Request relates to 12 the testimony of two of Jinhua's witnesses are now whom the Court has been told are physically able

14

15

16

20

23

24

25

26

# **Request for Assistance**

this Letter of Request is approved.

Jinhua\_2nd Letter Rog 876238v10 07/25/2022

Jinhua's witnesses You Zhenfu (Jeff Yu) and Wu Junsheng are willing to testify in-person in the United States, but the Court is aware that, on October 26, 2018, the People's Republic of Chin enacted the International Criminal Judicial Assistance Law. As noted above, Jinhua has been informed the Fujian Provincial Justice Department Ms. Du has stated that the view of the Chinese competent authority is that, pursuant to the International Criminal Judicial Assistance Law, approval by the Chinese competent authorities is needed for the Jinhua to be able to present live testimony of its witnesses in court in the United States on behalf of Jinhua..., because the PRC believes that this Court ordered their testimony. To the extent that the PRC believes this Letter Rogatory is necessary because of any order of this Court, this Court reiterates that the testimony of Messrs. You and Wu is not compelled, ordered, or otherwise required or requested by this Court.

to travel to the United States for trial to provide live, in-person testimony and are willing to do so if

The Court, in the spirit of comity and reciprocity, hereby requests international judicial assistance in the form of this Letter of Request to the Ministry of Justice of the People's Republic of Redline 2022.07.01 ECF 518-2 Reitmeier Decl Ex. A iso Reply 2nd Motion re Letter Rog and

China seeking the consent of the asking the Chinese competent authorities of the People's Republic of China forto allow Jinhua to present the testimony of You Zhenfu (Jeff Yu) and Wu Junsheng to give evidence. The evidence will be taken in the form of a live, in-person oral examination of the witnesses, in a courtroom in San Francisco, California, in the United States, with questions potentially asked by counsel for Jinhua, counsel for the United States government, and/or the judge. United States District Judge. While Mr. You and Mr. Wu are in the United States for testimony in this case, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of California, the Counterintelligence and Export Section of the National Security Division, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (collectively, "the United States government") agree not to serve (with the exception of a trial subpoena to testify in this case), arrest, detain, or otherwise take any action that would prevent either Mr. You or Mr. Wu from freely leaving the United States for conduct that occurred prior to either Mr. You or Mr. Wu's entry into the United States.

	Name	Address	Gender	Occupation
$\ $	You Zhenfu	No. 88, Lianhua Avenue,	Male	Director of Human
		Jinjiang City, Quanzhou		Resources, Jinhua
		City, Fujian Province, China		
$\ $	Wu Junsheng	No. 88, Lianhua Avenue,	Male	Director of Finance,
		Jinjiang City, Quanzhou		Jinhua
		City, Fujian Province, China		

### **Questions To Be Addressed in Testimony**

19	You Zhenfu
20	
21	
22	
23	

1. The witness's background and relationship to UMC and Jinhua.

- 2. The recruitment of employees to work on UMC's DRAM development.
- 3. The implementation of the Talent Retention Bonus Program and He Jianting (JT Ho), Li Fuzhe (Neil Lee), and Guo Fengming's (Ray Kuo) relationships with UMC and Jinhua.
- 4. The witness's attendance with UMC and Jinhua employees at events in the United States.

Redline 2022.07.01 ECF 518-2 Reitmeier Decl Ex. A iso Reply 2nd Motion re Letter Rog and Jinhua\_2nd Letter Rog 876238v10 07/25/2022

1	Wu Junsheng	1. The witness's background and relationship to		
2		<ul><li>Jinhua.</li><li>2. The Talent Retention Bonus Program and He</li></ul>		
3		Jianting (JT Ho), Li Fuzhe (Neil Lee), and Guo		
4		Fengming's (Ray Kuo) relationship to Jinhua.  3. Jinhua and UMC's agreement concerning the		
		procurement of tools.		
5		4. Chen Zhengkun's (Stephen Chen)		
6		relationship to Jinhua. 5. Jinhua's payments to UMC.		
7	Reciprocity	• •		
8		orthern District of California is willing to provide		
9	similar assistance to the judicial authorities of the	PRC.		
10	Reimbursement for Costs			
11	The fees and costs incurred by the Ministr	ry of Justice of the People's Republic of China in		
12	executing this Request will be borne by Defendant Jinhua.			
13	Date:			
14		The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney		
15		United States District Court for the Northern District of California		
16		San Francisco Courthouse		
		Courtroom 7 – 19th Floor 450 Golden Gate Avenue,		
17		San Francisco, CA 94102		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	Redline 2022.07.01 ECF 518-2 Reitmeier Decl Ex. A iso Reply 2nd Motion re Letter Rog and Jinhua_2nd Letter Rog 876238v10 07/25/2022			